PURCHASE OPTION ANALYSIS

This purchase option analysis is intended to provide our client, AHFC, relevant information about the manner in which a purchase option price can or should be evaluated and we conclude to a recommendation for an option price over the period of the ten year lease extension.

While a purchase option has been discussed between landlord and tenant (at tenant's request), no specific purchase option language has been prepared, and our analysis is not based upon any specific example. This is a financial analysis (only) and the specific terms and conditions of the option need to be established. Once that is complete, this analysis can be revisited to determine what changes, if any, are necessary, to conform the financial analysis with the (then) purchase option language.

We can note the following assumptions that are inherent in our financial analysis:

- The tenant has the right to exercise the option once a year annually, at the end of the lease year, through Year 10.
- The payment is made in cash at closing.
- The notice period for exercise of the option is reasonable.

The Analysis Process

As in the determination of our market rent estimate, the essence of this exercise is to determine an option price that is fair to both parties. An option price that is fair does not unfairly injure or diminish the expected return of the landlord, nor impose costs that would not be borne under the lease agreement upon the tenant. In this specific instance, wherein the tenant is a government agency, with the capacity to finance any purchase with tax-exempt debt, the tenant would most likely, through the entire term of the occupancy, have a financial capability to benefit from purchase of the property, simply because the tenant can change (lower) the effective cost of the investment as it moves from a private, taxable structure to a public, tax-exempt structure. This analysis *does not* consider that additional benefit available (only) to the tenant. The benefit does not inure to the landlord.

What is fair to landlord and tenant is to have a realistic understanding of the possible outcomes for the landlord with respect to extension or expiration of the lease agreement; this is the basis for this analysis of purchase option price. To a lesser extent, the outcomes for the tenant might also be considered, but, because of the special purpose nature of the building and occupancy, that analysis becomes "circular" and problematic.²²

²² Having concluded that the occupancy is specialized and the building special purpose, we have to assume that some event or condition "breaks the connection" between the specialized use and the cost of a building to occupy.



Thus our analysis focuses on the landlord's perspective, and their likelihood of investment return and outcome from their ownership of the building subject to the lease as agreed-upon. Somewhat like our market rent analysis, our recommendations are driven by our assessment of the suitability of the returns that result from the investment, should the purchase option be exercised. Our analysis begins with a reminder of the returns expected without option exercise.

We should also emphasize that this purchase option analysis is not based upon market rent conclusions provided earlier, but is based upon the actual terms of the lease as proposed by the developer, and generally agreed upon by the LAA on behalf of the Legislative Council.

The following are the steps in our analysis:

- Forecast cash flows to the landlord/developer under the actual lease terms.
- Test IRR and equity returns available based upon a 15 year investment holding period.
- Determine the relevant analysis scenarios.
- Determine the IRR for a 15 year investment under each scenario.
- Determine the suitable IRR/discount rate for pricing the purchase option.
- Determine the option price for each year of purchase under each scenario based on the IRR/discount rate selection.
- Probability weight the scenarios selected to indicate an expected value/weighted average option price by year.
- Having determined an option price by year as an expected value, solve for the resulting IRR/discount rate to test for reasonableness.
- Evaluate the landlord's costs associated with the forced sale of the property under the purchase option, including tax costs and investment replacement costs.
- Add lump sum adjustment for investment replacement costs to option price; recalculate IRR/discount rate based on adjusted option costs.

We note that while all of the above steps are included in our analysis, for brevity, we do not include a description or summary of all the steps in this narrative.

Scenarios/Outcomes

After evaluation, we have concluded that there are three principle outcomes that are likely at the end of the initial lease extension: (1) the option to extend is exercised, and rent continues on the prior pattern based upon a 2% annual increase, effectively replicating the first ten years of this agreement; (2) the LAA vacates the building and the building is leased to a replacement government tenant, imposing lost rent and costs on the landlord but retaining some of the rental value of the specialized improvements of the building; and (3) the LAA vacates the building and the building is leased to generic office building tenants effectively reducing the value of the specialized improvements, for rental value purposes, to nil.

There are a vast number of possible permutations around these three scenarios; our analysis only scratches the surface, and may be regarded as preliminary as a consequence.

The reader should note that our analysis also takes a single perspective around the "base" scenario, that of a fifteen year hold of the investment, and the assumed sale of the property to a third-party investor at the end of the 15th year of the investment. We have selected this basic approach (common to all three scenarios) because it allows the assumed sale of the property after the lease extension or expiration and turnover is completed, and the building (regardless of scenario) is back in stabilized operation following that event at the end of year 10.

	Year	Cash Flows	Reversion	Cash Flow &
				Reversion
	0	-\$37,016,021 🖡	0	-\$37,016,021
	1	\$2,973,099 🖡	0	\$2,973,099
	2	\$3,032,561 🗖	0	\$3,032,561
	3	\$3,093,212 🗖	0	\$3,093,212
	4	\$3,155,076 🗖	0	\$3,155,076
	5	\$3,218,178 🖡	0	\$3,218,178
	6	\$3,282,541	0	\$3,282,541
	7	\$3,348,192 🗖	0	\$3,348,192
	8	\$3,415,156	0	\$3,415,156
	9	\$3,483,459 🗖	0	\$3,483,459
	10	\$3,553,128	0	\$3,553,128
	11	\$3,624,191	0	\$3,624,191
	12	\$3,696,674	0	\$3,696,674
	13	\$3,770,608	0	\$3,770,608
	14	\$3,846,020	0	\$3,846,020
	15	\$3,922,940	\$50,736,697	\$54,659,637
		INVESTMENT IR	R-100bps	9.101%
		NPV OF CASH FLOW	VS; DRR =IRR	\$40,347,366
Purchase at End				
of Year	1	NPV		\$41,046,466
	2	NPV		\$41,749,731
	3	NPV		\$42,456,353
	4	NPV		\$43,165,424
	5	NPV		\$43,875,928
	6	NPV		\$44,586,736
	7	NPV		\$45,296,586
	8	NPV		\$46,004,080
	9	NPV		\$46,707,662
	10	NPV		\$47,405,611

BASIC CASH FLOWS - TENANT PERFORMS AND RENEWS

ALTERNA	ATIVE CASE	I FLOWS - A - REPL	ACEMENT	GOVT TE	NANT
		Cash Flows	Reversio	n	Cash Flow &
					Reversion
	0	-\$37,016,0	21 🗖	\$0	-\$37,016,021
	1	\$2,973,0)99 🗖	\$0	\$2,973,099
	2	\$3,032,5	561 🗖	\$0	\$3,032,561
	3	\$3,093,2	212	\$0	\$3,093,212
	4	\$3,155,0)76 🗖	\$0	\$3,155,076
	5	\$3,218,1	178 🗖	\$0	\$3,218,178
	6	\$3,282,5	541 🗖	\$0	\$3,282,541
	7	\$3,348,1	192 🗖	\$0	\$3,348,192
	8	\$3,415,1	156 🔽	\$0	\$3,415,156
	9	\$3,483,4	159 🗖	\$0	\$3,483,459
	10	\$3,553,1	128	\$0	\$3,553,128
	11	-\$3,842,3	575	\$0	-\$3,842,375
	12	\$2,842,5	502	\$0	\$2,842,502
	13	\$2,927,7	778	\$0	\$2,927,778
	14	\$3,015,6	511	\$0	\$3,015,611
	15	\$3,106,0)79 \$	40,171,957	\$43,278,037
		INVESTM	ENT IRR-10	Obps	6.931%
		NPV OF CASH	I FLOWS; D	RR =IRR	\$40,366,009
PURCHASE AT	1	NPV			\$40.190.757
	2	NPV			\$39,943,895
	3	NPV			\$39,619,273
	4	NPV			\$39,210,285
	5	NPV			\$38,709,849
	6	NPV			\$38,110,363
	7	NPV			\$37,403,674
	8	NPV			\$36,581,040
	9	NPV			\$35,633,084
	10	NPV			\$34,549,754

					Cash Flow &
		Cash Flows	Reversion		Reversion
	0	-\$37,016,02	21 🗖	\$0	-\$37,016,021
	1	\$2,973,0	99 🗖	\$0	\$2,973,099
	2	\$3,032,5	61 🗖	\$0	\$3,032,561
	3	\$3,093,2	12	\$0	\$3,093,212
	4	\$3,155,0	76 🗖	\$0	\$3,155,076
	5	\$3,218,1	78 🗖	\$0	\$3,218,178
	6	\$3,282,5	41 🗖	\$0	\$3,282,541
	7	\$3,348,1	92 🗖	\$0	\$3,348,192
	8	\$3,415,1	56 🗖	\$0	\$3,415,156
	9	\$3,483,4	59 🗖	\$0	\$3,483,459
	10	\$3,553,1	28	\$0	\$3,553,128
	11	-\$1,583,1	12	\$0	-\$1,583,112
	12	-\$1,044,21	15	\$0	-\$1,044,215
	13	\$2,027,5	56	\$0	\$2,027,556
	14	\$2,088,3	83	\$0	\$2,088,383
	15	\$2,151,0	34 \$27	,820,046	\$29,971,080
		INVESTMI	ENT IRR-100b	ps	4.916%
		NPV OF CASH	I FLOWS; DRF	R =IRR	\$40,266,097
PURCHASE AT	1	NPV			\$39,272,581
	2	NPV			\$38,170,759
	3	NPV			\$36,954,119
	4	NPV			\$35,615,800
	5	NPV			\$34,148,585
	6	NPV			\$32,544,875
	7	NPV			\$30,796,671
	8	NPV			\$28,895,557
	9	NPV			\$26,832,677
	10	NPV			\$24,598,711

ALTERNATIVE CASH FLOW - B - GENERIC TENANTS & LEASE UP

	1	BASIC CASH FLOWS - TENANT PERFORMS AND RENEWS	ALTERNATIVE CASH FLOWS - A - REPLACEMENT GOVT TENANT	ALTERNATIVE CASH FLOW - B - GENERIC TENANTS & LEASE UP
Purchase at EO Yr:	1	\$41,046,466 \$41,740,721	\$40,190,757 \$20,043,805	\$39,272,581
	23	\$42,456,353	\$39,619,273	\$36,954,119
	4	\$43,165,424	\$39,210,285	\$35,615,800
	5	\$43,875,928	\$38,709,849	\$34,148,585
	6	\$44,586,736	\$38,110,363	\$32,544,875
	7	\$45,296,586	\$37,403,674	\$30,796,671
	8	\$46,004,080	\$36,581,040	\$28,895,557
	9	\$46,707,662	\$35,633,084	\$26,832,677
	10	\$47,405,611	\$34,549,754	\$24,598,711

Source: Waronzof

Probability of Occurance	70%	15%	15%	
	BASIC CASH		ALTERNATIVE	
	FLOWS -	ALTERNATIVE	CASH FLOW - B -	
	TENANT	CASH FLOWS - A -	GENERIC	
	PERFORMS AND	REPLACEMENT	TENANTS &	Weighted
	RENEWS	GOVT TENANT	LEASE UP	Average
Purchase at EO Yr: 1	\$28,732,526	\$6,028,613	\$5,890,887	\$40,652,026
2	\$29,224,812	\$5,991,584	\$5,725,614	\$40,942,010
3	\$29,719,447	\$5,942,891	\$5,543,118	\$41,205,456
4	\$30,215,796	\$5,881,543	\$5,342,370	\$41,439,709
5	\$30,713,150	\$5,806,477	\$5,122,288	\$41,641,915
6	\$31,210,715	\$5,716,554	\$4,881,731	\$41,809,001
7	\$31,707,610	\$5,610,551	\$4,619,501	\$41,937,662
8	\$32,202,856	\$5,487,156	\$4,334,334	\$42,024,345
9	\$32,695,363	\$5,344,963	\$4,024,902	\$42,065,228
10	\$33,183,928	\$5,182,463	\$3,689,807	\$42,056,198

Tax Cost	\$1,130,175.70	\$1,321,402.61	\$1,508,827.23	\$1,692,054.97	\$1,870,661.12	\$2,044,188.59	\$2,212,145.51	\$2,374,002.63	\$2,529,190.52	\$2,677,096.52
DD Costs-Replacement	\$406,520 [*]	\$409,420	\$412,055	\$414,397	\$416,419	\$209,045	\$209,688	\$210,122	\$210,326	\$210,281
Total Lump Sum Adj	\$1,536,696	\$1,730,823	\$1,920,882	\$2,106,452	\$2,287,080	\$2,253,234	\$2,421,834	\$2,584,124	\$2,739,517	\$2,887,378
B Fwd Option Base	\$40,652,026	\$40,942,010	\$41,205,456	\$41,439,709	\$41,641,915	\$41,809,001	\$41,937,662	\$42,024,345	\$42,065,228	\$42,056,198
Indicated Option Price	\$42,188,722	\$42,672,833	\$43,126,338	\$43,546,161	\$43,928,995	\$44,062,234	\$44,359,496	\$44,608,470	\$44,804,744	\$44,943,575
Rounded	\$42,200,000	\$42,700,000	\$43,100,000	\$43,500,000	\$43,900,000	\$44,100,000	\$44,400,000	\$44,600,000	\$44,800,000	\$44,900,000

W)

0	-\$37,016,021	-\$37,016,021	-\$37,016,021	-\$37,016,021	-\$37,016,021	-\$37,016,021	-\$37,016,021	-\$37,016,021	-\$37,016,021	-\$37,016,021	-\$37,016,021
1		\$43,625,125	\$2,973,099	\$2,973,099 7	\$2,973,099 🖡	\$2,973,099 🖡	\$2,973,099 🖡	\$2,973,099	\$2,973,099	\$2,973,099	\$2,973,099
2	7	\$0	\$43,974,571	\$3,032,561	\$3,032,561	\$3,032,561 🖡	\$3,032,561	\$3,032,561	\$3,032,561	\$3,032,561	\$3,032,561
3	7	\$0	\$0	\$44,298,668 🗖	\$3,093,212	\$3,093,212 🖡	\$3,093,212	\$3,093,212	\$3,093,212	\$3,093,212	\$3,093,212
4	r and a second sec	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$44,594,785	\$3,155,076	\$3,155,076	\$3,155,076	\$3,155,076	\$3,155,076	\$3,155,076
5	7	\$0	\$0 🗖	\$0 🗖	\$0	\$44,860,092	\$3,218,178	\$3,218,178	\$3,218,178	\$3,218,178	\$3,218,178
6	7	\$0	\$0 🗖	\$0 🗖	\$0 🗖	\$0	\$45,091,542	\$3,282,541	\$3,282,541	\$3,282,541	\$3,282,541
7	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	\$0	\$0 🗖	\$0 🗖	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$45,285,854 🖡	\$3,348,192 🖡	\$3,348,192 🗖	\$3,348,192
8	r	\$0	\$0 🗖	\$0 🗖	\$0	\$0 🗖	\$0 🖡	\$0	\$45,439,501 🖡	\$3,415,156 🖡	\$3,415,156
9	r and a second sec	\$0	\$0 🗖	\$0 🗖	\$0	\$0	\$0 🖡	\$0	\$0	\$45,548,686 🖡	\$3,483,459
10		\$0	\$0 🗖	\$0 🗖	\$0	\$0	\$0 🖡	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$45,609,326
IRR		17.85%	13.08%	11.55%	10.80%	10.36%	10.07%	9.87%	9.73%	9.62%	9.54%

	Ontion Baumon	-4									
1	Option 1 aymer	\$40.652.026									
2		\$10,002,020	\$40,942,010								
3			<i>Q</i> 10) <i>J</i> 12,010	\$41,205,456							
4				+,=-,,	\$41,439,709						
5					+,,,	\$41,641,915					
6							\$41,809,001				
7								\$41,937,662			
8									\$42,024,345		
9										\$42,065,228	
10											\$42,056,198
										Source	e: Waronzof

	NOI FROM RENTS	SALE AT	SALE AT	VR 3	VR 4	VR 5	VR 6	VR 7	VR 8	VR 9	VR 10
1	\$2,072,000	\$2.072.000	\$2.072.000	\$2 072 000	\$2,072,000	\$2,072,000	\$2,072,000	\$2,072,000	\$2,072,000	\$2 072 000	\$2 072 000
1	\$2,913,099	\$2,975,099	\$2,973,099	\$2,973,099	\$2,973,099	\$2,975,099	\$2,973,099	\$2,975,099	\$2,973,099	\$2,973,099	\$2,973,099
2	\$3,032,561		\$3,032,561	\$3,032,561	\$3,032,561	\$3,032,561	\$3,032,561	\$3,032,561	\$3,032,561	\$3,032,561	\$3,032,561
3	\$3,093,212			\$3,093,212	\$3,093,212	\$3,093,212	\$3,093,212	\$3,093,212	\$3,093,212	\$3,093,212	\$3,093,212
4	\$3,155,076				\$3,155,076	\$3,155,076	\$3,155,076	\$3,155,076	\$3,155,076	\$3,155,076	\$3,155,076
5	\$3,218,178					\$3,218,178	\$3,218,178	\$3,218,178	\$3,218,178	\$3,218,178	\$3,218,178
6	\$3,282,541						\$3,282,541	\$3,282,541	\$3,282,541	\$3,282,541	\$3,282,541
7	\$3,348,192							\$3,348,192	\$3,348,192	\$3,348,192	\$3,348,192
8	\$3,415,156								\$3,415,156	\$3,415,156	\$3,415,156
9	\$3,483,459									\$3,483,459	\$3,483,459
10	\$3,553,128										\$3,553,128

0	-\$37,016,021	-\$37,016,021	-\$37,016,021	-\$37,016,021	-\$37,016,021	-\$37,016,021	-\$37,016,021	-\$37,016,021	-\$37,016,021	-\$37,016,021	-\$37,016,021
1		\$45,173,099	\$2,973,099	\$2,973,099	\$2,973,099	\$2,973,099	\$2,973,099	\$2,973,099	\$2,973,099	\$2,973,099	\$2,973,099
2			\$45,732,561	\$3,032,561	\$3,032,561	\$3,032,561	\$3,032,561	\$3,032,561	\$3,032,561	\$3,032,561	\$3,032,561
3				\$46,193,212	\$3,093,212	\$3,093,212	\$3,093,212	\$3,093,212	\$3,093,212	\$3,093,212	\$3,093,212
4					\$46,655,076	\$3,155,076	\$3,155,076	\$3,155,076	\$3,155,076	\$3,155,076	\$3,155,076
5						\$47,118,178	\$3,218,178	\$3,218,178	\$3,218,178	\$3,218,178	\$3,218,178
6							\$47,382,541	\$3,282,541	\$3,282,541	\$3,282,541	\$3,282,541
7								\$47,748,192	\$3,348,192	\$3,348,192	\$3,348,192
8									\$48,015,156	\$3,415,156	\$3,415,156
9										\$48,283,459	\$3,483,459
10											\$48,453,128
IRR		22.04%	15.24%	13.00%	11.92%	11.29%	10.82%	10.53%	10.30%	10.14%	10.00%
Imputed Ca	p Rate	7.045%	7.102%	7.177%	7.253%	7.331%	7.443%	7.541% 🖡	7.657%	7.776%	7.913%

Source: Waronzof

Year	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
Option Price	\$42,200,000	\$42,700,000	\$43,100,000	\$43,500,000	\$43,900,000	\$44,100,000	\$44,400,000	\$44,600,000	\$44,800,000	\$44,900,000
Imputed Cap Rate	7.045%	7.102%	7.177%	7.253%	7.331%	7.443%	7.541%	7.657%	7.776%	7.913%
Project IRR	22.04%	15.24%	13.00%	11.92%	11.29%	10.82%	10.53%	10.30%	10.14%	10.00%
Option Price Change		\$500,000	\$400,000	\$400,000	\$400,000	\$200,000	\$300,000	\$200,000	\$200,000	\$100,000

Source: Waronzof

	In	nputed Cap		Option Price
Year	Option Price	Rate	Project IRR	Change
1	\$42,200,000	7.045%	22.037%	-
2	\$42,700,000	7.102%	15.241%	\$500,000
3	\$43,100,000	7.177%	13.005%	\$400,000
4	\$43,500,000	7.253%	11.922%	\$400,000
5	\$43,900,000	7.331%	11.293%	\$400,000
6	\$44,100,000	7.443%	10.824%	\$200,000
7	\$44,400,000	7.541%	10.532%	\$300,000
8	\$44,600,000	7.657%	10.302%	\$200,000
9	\$44,800,000	7.776%	10.136%	\$200,000
10	\$44,900,000	7.913%	9.997%	\$100,000